ISSUE 8, VOLUME 9 · OCTOBER 19 2023

FRIENDS OF NVT

OFFICIAL NEWSLETTER OF INNEURACTIVE

INTRODUCTION

Welcome to Issue 8, Volume 9 of the Friends of NeuroVisual Training Newsletter, presented by Inneuractive, Inc. In this issue, we explore the world of neurotrauma and the ongoing effort to improve assessment and rehabilitation methods.

Our main article discusses several key cognitive assessments used in neurotrauma rehabilitation: the NIH Stroke Scale, the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), and the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). Each assessment offers valuable insights to help guide rehabilitation plans.

In NeuroVisual Training, the connection between assessment and intervention is vital for improved outcomes, injury prevention, and rehabilitation. An accurate cognitive assessment helps us navigate the complexities of neurorehabilitation and measure the success of NeuroVisual Training interventions. This ensures a targeted and effective approach to rehabilitation.

Our How-To section provides a detailed guide on how to use the MoCA, a valuable cognitive assessment tool. The aim is to offer a practical understanding of the MoCA's role in evaluating and improving cognitive functions after neurotrauma.

As you read through this issue, we hope to contribute to the ongoing effort to enhance neurotrauma rehabilitation. Together, our goal is to make strides in our understanding and approach, moving towards a future where neurotrauma recovery is more manageable.

Thank you for joining us in this pursuit of knowledge and our shared vision for a brighter future in neurotrauma care.

WHAT'S IN OUR LATEST ISSUE:

- Introduction
- Beyond the Scope: An In-Depth Exploration of the NIHSS, GCS, MoCA, and MMSE in Cognitive Healthcare – Jon Vincent
- How To: The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) – Jon Vincent
- Announcements
- Disclaimer

@FriendsofNVT

Beyond the Scope: An In-Depth Exploration of the NIHSS, GCS, MoCA, and MMSE in Cognitive Healthcare

In the intricate realm of cognitive and neurological assessment, the right tool can make all the difference in achieving accurate and actionable insights. The decision to employ one tool over another often hinges upon the specific patient population, the precise cognitive domain under scrutiny, and the clinical or research context in which it is being used. Among the myriad of tools available, the NIH Stroke Scale (NIHSS), Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), and the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) are standout instruments, each with its own unique set of attributes tailored to specific clinical scenarios.

The NIHSS, for instance, was developed primarily to assess the severity of stroke and its effects on cerebral function, providing a quantitative measure that is essential for prognosis and treatment decisions. On the other hand, the GCS is a widely utilized tool for gauging consciousness level, especially in individuals who have experienced traumatic brain injuries. The MoCA and MMSE, though sharing some similarities, each offer their own unique approach to the broader assessment of cognitive functions, from memory and attention to executive functions and language. Both have found their niche in diagnosing and monitoring neurodegenerative conditions like Alzheimer's disease.

Understanding the nuances of each tool—its strengths, its limitations, and its intended applications—is paramount for clinicians and researchers alike. Such an understanding not only ensures the appropriate use of each instrument but also guarantees the data derived is meaningful and can be acted upon in a clinical setting. This article will delve deeper into the comparative anatomy of these four instruments, emphasizing their design, targeted brain regions, and the clinical implications of their results. Through this, we hope to elucidate the profound importance of choosing the right tool for the task at hand and the far-reaching impact these decisions have on patient care and research outcomes.

The NIH Stroke Scale (NIHSS)

The NIH Stroke Scale (NIHSS) is a methodical assessment tool, developed by the National Institutes of Health, to determine and quantify the severity of strokes. It has become indispensable in clinical and research settings for consistently documenting stroke-induced neurological deficits. The NIHSS evaluates 11 distinct neurological areas, including a patient's consciousness level, eye movement, visual fields, facial muscle strength, arm and leg motor functions, coordination, sensory perception, language abilities, speech clarity, and attention. Based on observed deficits in these categories, scores are given, offering insights into stroke severity. These evaluations touch upon several brain regions, from the cerebral hemispheres to the brainstem and cerebellum. For example, gaze abnormalities may hint at issues with cranial nerves or the brainstem, while language impairments might implicate Broca's or Wernicke's areas in the left hemisphere. Beyond its diagnostic application, the NIHSS holds predictive value: higher scores usually suggest more severe strokes and potentially worse outcomes, whereas lower scores can hint at milder strokes with better prognoses. This scale has become pivotal in clinical trials, offering standardized stroke severity evaluations. However, it may overlook minor strokes or those affecting specific brain parts and doesn't provide an extensive neurocognitive assessment due to its stroke-focused nature. Nonetheless, the NIHSS remains an invaluable tool in neurology, directing clinical decisions, facilitating research, and enhancing patient outcomes.

The Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS)

The Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) is a widely accepted neurological scoring system developed in 1974 by Graham Teasdale and Bryan J. Jennett at the University of Glasgow. Designed to determine the consciousness level in individuals post-traumatic brain injury, the GCS offers a straightforward yet effective categorization of the extent and duration of consciousness impairment. It assesses three primary response areas: Eye Opening (ranging from no eye-opening to spontaneous eye-opening), Verbal Response (from no verbal response to oriented conversation), and Motor Response (from no motor response to following commands). By aggregating scores from these categories, a composite score between 3 (indicating profound unconsciousness) and 15 (full consciousness) is derived. This scoring system evaluates functions linked to specific brain regions. For instance, eye-opening responses relate to the brainstem's reticular activating system, verbal responses involve cerebral hemispheres areas such as Broca's and Wernicke's, and motor responses engage regions from the cerebral cortex to deeper structures like the thalamus.

In clinical settings, the GCS plays a crucial role in initially assessing head injury patients. It offers an immediate overview of neurological status, facilitating decisions about medical interventions, potential surgical procedures, and prognosis determinations. Moreover, it's beneficial in tracking a patient's neurological progression, enabling clinicians to notice neurological improvements or deteriorations. However, the GCS is not without limitations. It doesn't evaluate certain neurological aspects like pupil reactivity or limb coordination. Factors like drug or alcohol influence can skew scores, and it may be less descriptive for patients with extremely low or high scores. Additionally, different evaluators might give slightly varied scores for the same patient condition.

Despite its limitations, the Glasgow Coma Scale remains a vital tool in trauma and critical care, providing a quick yet comprehensive glimpse of a patient's neurological state. Its global adoption and continued relevance underscore its effectiveness in neurological evaluations.

The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)

The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) was developed by Dr. Ziad Nasreddine in Montreal, Canada, in 1996 as a nuanced screening tool to identify mild cognitive impairments, often overlooked by other instruments like the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). Notably, it is especially useful in early detection of conditions like Alzheimer's disease. The MoCA assesses various cognitive domains: Short-Term Memory Recall, Visuospatial Abilities, Executive Functions, Attention, Concentration, Working Memory, Language, and Orientation. With a perfect score being 30, anything above 26 is generally deemed normal, while scores below 26 may indicate potential cognitive challenges. This tool's design enables it to evaluate multiple brain regions, from the medial temporal lobe associated with memory recall to Broca's and Wernicke's areas integral for language.

Clinically, MoCA's strength lies in its heightened sensitivity to mild cognitive deficits, making it a preferred choice for detecting early-stage Alzheimer's, vascular cognitive impairment, and cognitive changes tied to Parkinson's disease. Its multifaceted nature means it can pinpoint specific areas of impairment, thus guiding subsequent diagnostic steps and potential treatments. However, while the MoCA's sensitivity is commendable, it's crucial to acknowledge its limitations. The test might be swayed by a patient's educational background, necessitating score adjustments. It's more time-consuming than some alternatives like the MMSE, demands specific training for proper execution and scoring, and might face challenges with language or cultural disparities, requiring specialized versions for particular demographics.

Despite potential shortcomings, the Montreal Cognitive Assessment remains pivotal in the realm of cognitive evaluations, especially in unveiling subtle cognitive declines that might signal the onset of neurodegenerative diseases. Its multifaceted approach ensures a comprehensive overview of a patient's cognitive status, and when used discerningly, it proves invaluable in both clinical practice and research.

The Mini Mental Status Examination (MMSE)

The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), developed by Dr. Marshal Folstein and his team in 1975, stands as one of the most prevalent cognitive screening tools, especially for detecting dementia and delirium. This examination provides a concise, yet comprehensive evaluation of various cognitive functions, covering areas like orientation, where patients detail the current date and their location; registration and recall, which tests immediate memory and later recall of given words; attention and calculation, often involving subtracting serial sevens from 100; and language, which encompasses tasks like object naming, following commands, and copying complex designs. With a perfect score set at 30, a tally of 24 or above is generally deemed normal, but scores below 24 can suggest cognitive deficits, though actual interpretation may shift depending on factors like age and education.

The MMSE's design ensures that it examines multiple brain regions. Orientation tasks primarily tap into the temporal and parietal lobes. In contrast, memory-related tasks like registration and recall engage the hippocampus and adjacent medial temporal lobe structures. Attention and calculation exercises are linked to the frontal lobe, especially the prefrontal cortex, while language tasks are connected to Broca's area in the frontal lobe and Wernicke's area in the temporal lobe. Clinically, the MMSE's primary strength is its capability to detect and monitor cognitive decline, notably in conditions such as Alzheimer's disease. Its ubiquitous recognition ensures its frequent use in research, setting a standard for cognitive function measurements. Additionally, its design aids clinicians in observing cognitive shifts over time, revealing disease progression or the effects of treatments.

However, while the MMSE offers undeniable benefits, it comes with certain limitations. The test's results can be swayed by factors like the patient's educational and cultural background. For instance, individuals with higher education might achieve normal scores even when cognitively impaired. Conversely, those with limited education might score below the standard threshold despite being cognitively sound. The MMSE might also miss mild cognitive impairments or the nascent stages of dementia. Moreover, it doesn't fully capture executive functions, and conditions like aphasia can skew results. Despite these constraints, the MMSE remains an essential instrument in cognitive assessment. It's vital for practitioners to interpret MMSE scores within the broader context of a patient's overall presentation, ensuring its continued relevance in both clinical and research environments.

Conclusion

As we delve deeper into the intricacies of neurovisual training, it becomes evident how integral the NIHSS, GCS, MoCA, and MMSE are in shaping individualized therapeutic strategies. These tools not only help in pinpointing specific neurological deficits but also pave the way for targeted interventions, optimizing the outcomes of neurovisual exercises and therapies. To simplify the complex nature of these assessments and their specific utilities in the context of neurovisual training, we've encapsulated the primary attributes of each in **Table 1**, aiding clinicians and therapists in their decision-making process.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Neurological Assessment Scales

Test/Scale	Purpose	Components	Areas of the Brain Targeted	Pros	Cons
NIH Stroke Scale	Evaluate the severity of strokes. Predict patient outcomes. Guide treatment decisions.	Consciousness, gaze, visual fields, facial palsy, motor arm/leg, limb ataxia, sensory loss, language, speech, and inattention.	Cerebral hemispheres, brainstem, motor and sensory pathways.	Quick, comprehensive for stroke severity, aids in predicting outcomes, facilitates clinical decision-making.	Tailored specifically for stroke.
Glasgow Coma Scale	Assess consciousness levels following traumatic brain injury (TBI).	Eye, verbal, and motor responses.	Reticular activating system, cerebral hemispheres.	Widely accepted, simple, quick for emergencies.	Limited in scope, does not evaluate beyond consciousness.
MoCA	Detect mild cognitive impairment. Commonly used in neurodegenerative conditions such as Alzheimer's disease.	Attention, concentration, executive functions, memory, language, visuoconstructional skills, conceptual thinking, calculations, orientation.	Frontal lobe (executive functions), temporal lobe (memory), parietal lobe (visuoconstructional skills).	Comprehensive, sensitive to mild cognitive impairment, evaluates multiple domains.	Longer administration time, may require trained personnel.
MMSE	Screen for cognitive impairment and dementia.	Orientation, registration (immediate memory), short-term memory (recall), attention and calculation, language abilities.	Frontal and temporal lobes (Broca's and Wernicke's areas for language).	Quick, widely accepted, versatile for various clinical settings.	Less sensitive to mild cognitive impairment than MoCA, potential cultural biases.

The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)

The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) is designed for the rapid screening of mild cognitive dysfunction. It evaluates a range of cognitive domains including attention, concentration, executive functions, memory, language, visuoconstructional skills, conceptual thinking, calculations, and orientation. The assessment typically takes around 10 minutes to administer. The maximum possible score on this test is 30 points, with a score of 26 or higher being considered within the normal range.

Instructions:

1. Alternating Trail Making:
    a. Admin: Ask the subject to draw a line from a number to a letter in ascending order. Indicate start (1) and end points (E).
    b. Score: 1 point for pattern 1-A-2-B-3-C-4-D-5-E without crossed lines. Errors not self-corrected score 0.
2. Visuoconstructional Skills (Cube):
    a. Admin: Point to the cube and ask the subject to copy the drawing below it.
    b. Score: 1 point for a correct 3D drawing with all lines, no added lines, parallel lines of similar length.
3. Visuoconstructional Skills (Clock):
    a. Admin: Ask the subject to draw a clock in the right third of space, with numbers and time set to 10 past 11.
    b. Score: 1 point each for: Circle contour with minor distortions, Correct placement and order of numbers, Correct placement and length of hands.
4. Naming:
    a. Admin: Point to each figure and ask its name.
    b. Score: 1 point for each correct response: lion, rhinoceros/rhino, camel/dromedary.
5. Memory:
    a. Admin: Read a list of 5 words twice. After each reading, ask the subject to recall the words. Inform them of a later recall.
    b. Score: No points for initial recalls.
6. Attention:
    a. Digit Span: Ask the subject to repeat number sequences both forwards and backwards.
    b. Vigilance: Read a sequence of letters, subject taps hand for each "A".
    c. Serial 7s: Ask the subject to subtract 7 from 100 repeatedly.
    d. Score: Points vary per task, based on correctness.
7. Sentence Repetition:
    a. Admin: Read two sentences to the subject to repeat.
    b. Score: 1 point for each exact repetition.
8. Verbal Fluency:
    a. Admin: Ask the subject to list words starting with "F" for 60 seconds.
    b. Score: 1 point for 11 or more unique words.
9. Abstraction:
    a. Admin: Ask how pairs of words are alike.
    b. Score: 1 point for each correct similarity identified.
10. Delayed Recall:
    a. Admin: Ask the subject to recall the list of words read earlier.
    b. Score: 1 point for each word recalled without cues.
11. Orientation:
    a. Admin: Ask the subject for today's date and the location's name and city.
    b. Score: 1 point for each correct answer.

For a more detailed account of the MoCA instructions, please refer to the links that will either take you to a more detailed set of instructions or a youtube video demonstration walking you through how to give the MoCA.

Instructions:
https://www.parkinsons.va.gov/resources/MoCA-Instructions-English.pdf

Youtube Video:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wO7n19KMveU

Announcement

Check out this article regarding neuroplasticity, **https://flip.it/EFTV6K**. A simple dive into brain training and NVT.

Check out our store, **http://www.inneuractive.com/shop** ! We regularly add new products and are excited for the upcoming launch of our NVT warmup panels.

We encourage our Friends of NeuroVisual Training community to engage with these enriching resources. Your commitment to staying updated fuels the advancement of our field, and for that, we are sincerely appreciative.

Disclaimer: Nothing in this communication should be construed as a practice of medicine, an endorsement, or political action. The opinions are the opinions of the authors.